

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,569	KUSAKABE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen C. Tran	2134	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Ellen C. Tran.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas C. Basso.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 November 2006

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative).

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 No

Claims discussed:

The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this application. Applicant's attorney agreed the following amendment to claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 14, 16, 18, 20-22, 27, and 28.

Prior art documents discussed:
 No


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)